arXiv:1410.0814v1 [hep-th] 3 Oct 2014

HRI-RECAPP-2014-022

Why has spacetime torsion such negligible effect on our universe?

Ashmita Das[†], Biswarup Mukhopadhyaya[#] and Soumitra SenGupta[†*]
[†]*Department of Theoretical Physics, Indian association For The Cultivation Of science, Kolkata 700032, India and*
[#]*Regional Centre for Accelerator-based Particle Physics,*
Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhusi, Allahabad - 211 019, India.

We attempt an answer to the question as to why the evolution of four-dimensional universe is governed by spacetime curvature but not torsion. An answer is found if there is an additional compact spacelike dimension with a warped geometry, with torsion caused by a Kalb-Ramond (KR) antisymmetric tensor field in the bulk. Starting from a Randall-Sundrum type of warped extra dimension, and including the inevitable back reaction ensuing from the radius stabilization mechanism, we show that there is always an extra exponential suppression of the KR field on the four-dimensional projection that constitutes our visible universe. The back reaction is found to facilitate the process of such suppression.

An enigmatic feature of our universe, as evinced from cosmological observations, is that its evolution is controlled by one type of geometrical deformation only, namely, curvature. It is somewhat surprising that we notice practically no effect of another type of deformation, namely, torsion. The simplest extension of the general theory of relativity incorporating torsion is the Einstein-Cartan theory, involving the antisymmetric rank-3 torsion $H_{\mu\nu\lambda}$. It can be argued from dimensional consideration that the coupling of $H_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ to matter should be $\sim 1/M_P$ where $M_P = 1/\sqrt{G}$ is the scale answering to any theory of gravity, namely, the Planck scale. There have been several experimental searches to verify Einstein's theory of gravity without any presence of torsion in spacetime geometry. An example is the Gravity Probe B experiment which was designed to estimate the precession of a gyroscope to observe any signature beyond the predictions of Einstein's gravity [1]. However, all such probes, within the limit of their experimental precision, have consistently produced negative results and thereby disfavoured the presence of torsion in the spacetime geometry of our (3+1) dimensional visible universe [2–4]. The question, therefore, is: why does torsion leave such negligible footrpint on the universe while curvature controls evolution almost entirely? Neither pure Einstein gravity nor Einstein-Cartan models can answer this.

A rather spectacular solution is offered in terms of theories involving extra compact spacelike dimensions, with warped geometry for the compact dimension(s), such as in Randall-Sundrum (RS) [5] scenarios. This kind of a scenario postulates gravity in the five-dimensional 'bulk', whereas our four-dimensional universe is confined to one of the two 3-branes located at the two orbifold fixed points along the compact dimension.

It has been shown already that, if there is a rank-2 antisymmetric tensor field $B_{\mu\nu}$, popularly called the Kalb-Ramond (KR) field, then the rank 3 field strength tensor $H_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ corresponding to the gauge field $B_{\mu\nu}$ can be equated with the source of spacetime torsion using the equation of motion [6]. In an RS-like scenario with, say, one extra dimension, both the graviton and $B_{\mu\nu}$ propagate in the bulk. This can also find justification in string theory where the graviton and the KR field are both excitations of closed strings. In such a case, the compactification procedure causes the KR field to be diluted compared to the graviton by an exponential warp factor on the 3-brane where the standard model (SM) fields are localised [7].

The robustness of such a claim, however, awaits a crucial demonstration. The warped RS [5] geometry in its original form is intrinsically unstable. A popular way of stabilising the radius of the compact dimension is the Goldberger-Wise (GW) [8] mechanism, postulating a bulk scalar field with different vacuum expectation values (VEV) at the two 3-branes that reside at the orbifold fixed points of S_1/Z_2 compactification. This mechanism, however, generates a bulk energy density which modifies the warped geometry itself via back-reaction. Though this had been neglected in the initial GW proposal, its various implications, including the modifications of the warp factor, have been subsequently investigated [9, 10]. We show here that such back reaction retains the dilution of the zero-mode of the KR field (which is the instrument of torsion) on the SM brane, thus putting this explanation of vanishing torsion in our universe on a firm basis.

The minimal RS scenario is based on the five-dimensional metric

$$ds^2 = e^{-2ky}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu - dy^2 \qquad (1)$$

where $k(\approx M_P)$ is related to the bulk cosmological constant, The brane on which the SM fields reside is located at $y = r_0$, while the 'Planck brane' is at $y = 0$. Achieving the correct hierarchy between the Planck and TeV scales requires $kr_0 \approx 36$. The stability of this desired r_0, however, is not assured. The GW mechanism aims to achieve such stabilisation by postulating a bulk scalar field with

*Electronic address: tpad@iacs.res.in,biswarup@hri.res.in,tpssg@iacs.res.in

an appropriate potential for the scalar field in the bulk.

We wish to see how torsion, equated with the KR field, fares on the SM brane in the above geometry. For that, however, it is imperative to examine the consequence of this bulk scalar energy density on the geometry, or in other words, the effects of 'back reaction'. With this in view, we use the modified warp factor to determine the zero-mode of the KR field strength which corresponds to the space-time torsion in our brane. Then we examine its coupling with the SM matter fields localised on the visible brane.

The five-dimensional action used here is [9]

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -M^3 \int d^5x\sqrt{g}R^{(5)} + \int d^5x\sqrt{g}(\frac{1}{2}\nabla\phi\nabla\phi - V(\phi)) \\ &- \int d^4x\sqrt{g_4}\lambda_P(\phi) - \int d^4x\sqrt{g_4}\lambda_T(\phi) \\ &+ \int d^5x H_{MNL}H^{MNL} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Where $R^{(5)}$ is the five dimensional Ricci scalar, ϕ is the bulk scalar field and $V(\phi)$ is the bulk potential term for the scalar field ϕ, g_4 is the induced metric on the brane and λ_P, λ_T are the boundary potentials on the Planck and TeV branes respectively due to the bulk scalar field. The generalised metric is written as

$$ds^2 = e^{-2A(y)}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu - dy^2 \tag{3}$$

preserve 4-D Lorentz invariance where y symbolises as extra dimensional coordinate and $e^{-A(y)}$ is the new modified warp factor [9].

We initially consider the back-reaction of only the bulk scalar field. Later we will comment on the effects of similar back-reaction of the bulk KR field also. Under this assumption, the five dimensional Einstein equations are given as,

$$R_{AB} = \kappa^2(T_{AB} - \frac{1}{3}g_{AB}g^{CD}T_{CD}) \tag{4}$$

Here κ is the five dimensional Newton's constant and related to five dimensional Planck mass M by $\kappa^2 = 1/2M^3$. As shown in [9], various components of the 5-D Einstein equations for this metric ansatz are:

$$4A'^2 - A'' = -\frac{2\kappa^2}{3}V(\phi) - \frac{\kappa^2}{3}\sum_i \lambda_i(\phi)\delta(y-y_i) \tag{5}$$

$$A'^2 = \frac{\kappa^2\phi'^2}{12} - \frac{\kappa^2}{6}V(\phi) \tag{6}$$

$$\phi_0'' = 4A'\phi' + \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial\phi} + \sum_i \frac{\partial\lambda_i(\phi)}{\partial\phi}\delta(y-y_i) \tag{7}$$

Here prime and ∂_μ denotes the derivatives with respect to y and 4-D space time coordinate i.e x^μ respectively. Thus the energy density of the bulk scalar field interacts gravitationally with the bulk spacetime to modify the effective energy-momentum tensor. To solve the Einstein's equations in this spacetime geometry one considers a class of potential for the bulk scalar field ϕ [9, 10] inspired from 5-dimensional gauged supergravity [11] as,

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{8}(\frac{\partial W(\phi)}{\partial\phi})^2 - \frac{\kappa^2}{6}W(\phi)^2 \tag{8}$$

Where,

$$W(\phi) = \frac{6k}{\kappa^2} - u\phi^2 \tag{9}$$

With such a choice, the solution for the stabilizing scalar field (ϕ_0) and the back-reacted metric are [9, 10],

$$\phi(y) = \phi_P\ e^{-uy} \tag{10}$$

where ϕ_P is the solution at $y = 0$. Similarly, the solution at $y = r_0$ is ϕ_T.

$$A(y) = ky + \frac{\kappa^2\phi_P^2}{12}e^{-2uy} \tag{11}$$

The distance between two 3-brane r_0 can be stabilized by matching the VEV of the stabilizing scalar field ϕ_0 at 0 (location of the Planck brane) and r_0 (location of the TeV brane) to ϕ_P and ϕ_T. This yields,

$$e^{-ur_0} = \frac{\phi_T}{\phi_P} \tag{12}$$

We slightly reparametrize the warp factor by using, $l = \frac{\kappa\phi_P}{\sqrt{2}}$ and we obtain a new expression for warp factor which we will consider onwards.

$$A(y) = ky + \frac{l^2}{6}e^{-2uy} \tag{13}$$

Considering two possible cases:

From the requirement of the desired warping from the Planck brane to TeV brane, the contribution the warp factor will be,

$$A(0) - A(r_0) = -37 \tag{14}$$

For $u > 0$, $\phi_P^2 - \phi_T^2 > 0$ which implies that the term kr_0 has the dominant contribution in the warp factor. This condition is justified if u/k is small i.e if we set $\phi_P/\phi_T = 2.5$ and keep $l \lesssim 1$, in turn u/k becomes 1/40. For $u < 0$ [10], both the terms in warp factor $\phi_P^2 - \phi_T^2$ and kr_0 contribute to achieve the desired suppression from Planck to TeV brane. For example, one could consider, $u/k = 1/23$, $\phi_T/\phi_P = 5$ while keeping $l \lesssim 1$.

In this entire analysis, we take the 5-dimensional Planck scale M and the 4-dimensional Planck scale $\overline{M}_{Pl}$ to be of comparable magnitude, as in the original RS model. As stated earlier, we consider the source of torsion to be the rank-2 anti-symmetric Kalb-Ramond field B_{MN}. Torsion can be identified with the rank-3 antisymmetric

field strength tensor H_{MNL} which is related to the KR field as,

$$H_{MNL} = \partial_{[M} B_{NL]} \tag{15}$$

with each Latin and Greek indices running from 0 to 4 and 0 to 3 respectively.
After S_1/Z_2 orbifolding as in the original RS model, the Kaluza-Klein decomposition for the KR field can be given as,

$$B_{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B^n_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\chi^n(y)}{\sqrt{r_0}} \tag{16}$$

Substituting this in the 5-dimensional action and integrating over the extra dimension, the four dimensional effective action turns out to be:

$$S^{(4)}_H = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d^4x [\eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\nu\beta}\eta^{\lambda\gamma} H^n_{\mu\nu\lambda} H^n_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + 3m_n^2 \eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\nu\beta} B^n_{\mu\nu} B^n_{\alpha\beta}] \tag{17}$$

provided the internal components of the KR field $\chi^n(y)$ satisfy,

$$-\partial_y^2 \chi^n(y) = m_n^2 \chi^n(y) e^{2A(y)} \tag{18}$$

along with the orthonormality condition:

$$\frac{1}{r_0}\int_{-r_0}^{r_0} e^{2A(y)} \chi^m(y)\chi^n(y) dy = \delta^{mn} \tag{19}$$

Here m_n represents the KK mass modes of KR field.

Let us now consider the massless mode of the KR field which is identified with spacetime torsion. Eq.(18) yields the solutions for the KR massless mode $\chi^0(y)$ for two distinct cases:
For $u > 0$, we obtain the wavefunction for the massless mode of KR field as,

$$\chi^0 = \frac{\sqrt{kr_0}e^{-kr_0}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{l^2}{3(1-\frac{u}{k})}\frac{\phi_T^2}{\phi_P^2}}} \tag{20}$$

For $u < 0$, proceeding as above we obtain the solution for the massless mode of KR field as,

$$\chi^0 = \frac{\sqrt{kr_0}e^{-kr_0}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{l^2}{3(1+\frac{u}{k})}\frac{\phi_T^2}{\phi_P^2}}} \tag{21}$$

In both the cases the denominator in eq.(20) and (21), is always > 1. It was earlier shown in the background of the original RS model [7] that the torsion in our 3-brane is diluted by a factor $\sqrt{kr_0}e^{-kr_0}$ in comparison to the zero mode graviton. Here we find that due to the back-reaction of the stabilizing field the space-time torsion is more heavily suppressed than what was shown earlier in [7]. This establishes the invisibility of torsion in our universe.

We also estimate the coupling of the torsion field with standard model fermions.
Assuming that the Standard Model matter fields are confined to the brane at $y = r_0$, we evaluate the interaction of torsion with spin 1/2 brane fermions. Following reference [7], the interaction of the massless KR field with SM fermions is therefore,

$$\mathscr{L}_{\psi\overline{\psi}H^0} = -\frac{1}{M^{3/2}}\overline{\psi}\left[i\gamma^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}H^0_{\mu\nu\lambda}\frac{\chi^0(y)}{\sqrt{r_0}}\right]\psi \tag{22}$$

For $u > 0$:
Integrating over the extra dimensional part, we arrive at the effective 4-D coupling of massless KR field with SM fermions.

$$\mathscr{L}_{\psi\overline{\psi}H^0} = -i\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}\frac{e^{-kr_0}}{\overline{M}_{Pl}\sqrt{1 + \frac{l^2}{3(1-\frac{u}{k})}\frac{\phi_T^2}{\phi_P^2}}}H^0_{\mu\nu\lambda}\psi \tag{23}$$

For $u < 0$:

$$\mathscr{L}_{\psi\overline{\psi}H^0} = -i\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}\frac{e^{-kr_0}}{\overline{M}_{Pl}\sqrt{1 + \frac{l^2}{3(1+\frac{u}{k})}\frac{\phi_T^2}{\phi_P^2}}}H^0_{\mu\nu\lambda}\psi \tag{24}$$

We observe that the coupling is now suppressed by additional factors for both u greater or less than zero over the usual coupling $\frac{e^{-kr_0}}{\overline{M}_{Pl}}$. Thus the effect of the back-reaction of the stabilising bulk scalar field on the space-time geometry not only modifies the warp factor but also exhibits further suppression in the interaction of torsion with fermionic fields on the visible brane [7]. As is evident on binomially expanding the right-hand sides of equations (23) and (24), the additional suppression is due to the contribution of the bulk scalar field to $T_{\mu\nu}$. Further contributions of this kind from the bulk KR fields will add to such suppression. Therefore the back-reaction of the KR field, if anything compared to the bulk scalar field, further dilutes the effects of torsion in the SM brane. This explains why the search for any experimental detection of space-time torsion in our universe continues to elude us, despite their presence alongwith pure Einstien's gravity with equal strength in higher dimensions. The miracle of warped compactification leads to the suppression of the zero mode torsion while the couplings of the massless graviton with brane fermions continue to remain $\sim M_P^{-1}$. A question that still remains is: what happens to the massive modes of KR fields ? Will they make their presence felt in our brane? We finish our discussion by addressing this issue.

For the massive modes, we consider two cases as before:
For $u > 0$, we reparametrize eq.(18) in terms of z_n, where $z_n = \frac{m_n}{k}e^{A(y)}$ and it is very clear that $\frac{l^2}{6}e^{-2uy} < 1$ for any value of y within 0 to r_0 while we keep $l < 1$.
Hence we obtain $e^{A(y)} \approx e^{ky}(1 + \frac{l^2}{6}e^{-2uy})$,

and as a result eq.(18) reduces to,

$$\left[z_n^2\frac{d^2}{dz_n^2}+z_n\frac{d}{dz_n}+z_n^2\right]\chi^n=0 \tag{25}$$

The solution of the above equation is,

$$\chi^n=\frac{1}{N_n}\left[J_0(z_n)+\alpha_n Y_0(z_n)\right] \tag{26}$$

Where $J_0(z_n)$ and $Y_0(z_n)$ are Bessel and Neumann function of the order 0 and α_n and m_n can be determined by applying the continuity condition of the derivative of the wave function of massive KR at $y=0$ and r_0. As explained in [7], applying the continuity condition at $y=0$ provides $\alpha_n << 1$ as, $e^{A(r_0)} >> 1$. Here m_n are the KK mass modes on the TeV brane. Applying the orthonormality condition eq.(19) to normalize χ^n, we arrive at the final solution for χ^n as,

$$\chi^n=\sqrt{kr_0}e^{-A(r_0)}J_0(z_n) \tag{27}$$

Proceeding similarly as for the zeroth mode, we write the general expression for coupling of massive KR fields with SM fermions on the TeV brane as

$$\mathscr{L}_{\psi\overline{\psi}H^n}=-i\frac{e^{-A(r_0)}}{\overline{M}_{Pl}}\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}H^n_{\mu\nu\lambda}J_0(x_n)\psi \tag{28}$$

For $u<0$, one can perform a similar analysis. There, too, we can achieve the same suppression for massive KR mode wave functions and their coupling with SM fermions.

Thus a warped extra dimensional theory like the RS scenario is successful in explaining the suppression of torsion in our 4-dimensional universe. Apart from a large exponential suppression by the warp factor, the back reactions caused by the energy density of the stabilising field in the bulk further accentuates such suppression. A similar fate greets the massive modes of the KR field, when projections on the visible brane are taken.

Acklnolowledgements: The work of BM has been partially supported by funding available from the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, for the Regional Centre for Acceleratror-based Particle Physics (RECAPP) at Harish-Chandra Research Institute. BM also thanks the Theoretical Physics Depertment, Indian Associatoin for the Cultivation of Science, for hospitality during the early stage of this work, while SSG acknowledges the hospitality of RECAPP while the project was being completed.

[1] C.W.F Everitt et.al, Phys. Rev.Lett.**106**, 221101 (2011).
[2] F.W. Hehl, Y.N Obukhov, D. Puetzfeld, Phys.Lett.A, **377**, 1775 (2013).
[3] Y. Mao, M.Tegmark, A. Guth, S. Cabi, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 104029, 2007.
[4] C. Lanmerzahl, Phys. Lett. A, **228**, 223, 1997.
[5] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999); *ibid* **83**, 4690 (1999).
[6] P. Majumdar, S. Sengupta, Class.Quant.Grav. **16** (1999) L89-L94
[7] B.Mukhopadhyaya,S.Sen,S.SenGupta, Phys.Rev.Lett.**89**:121101,2002
[8] W.D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, Phys.Rev.Lett.**83**, 4922 (1999).
[9] C. Csaki, M.L. Graesser and Graham D. Kribs, Phys. Rev.D.**63**, 065002.
[10] O.DeWolfe, D.Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser and A. Karch, Phys. Rev.D.**62**, 046008.
[11] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser, and A. Karch, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 046008 2000!.